

EFFECTIVE DEFINABILITY OF KOLCHIN POLYNOMIALS

JAMES FREITAG, OMAR LEÓN SÁNCHEZ, AND WEI LI

ABSTRACT. While the natural model-theoretic ranks available in differentially closed fields (of characteristic zero), namely Lascar and Morley rank, are known *not* to be definable in families of differential varieties; in this note we show that the differential-algebraic rank given by the Kolchin polynomial is in fact definable. As a byproduct, we are able to prove that the property of being *weakly irreducible* for a differential variety is also definable in families. The question of full irreducibility remains open, it is known to be equivalent to the generalized Ritt problem.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction and some preliminaries	1
2. On characteristic sets and numerical polynomials	3
3. Definability of Kolchin polynomials	7
4. Some applications of definability	10
References	11

1. INTRODUCTION AND SOME PRELIMINARIES

Fix a differentially closed field of characteristic zero (K, Δ) with $\Delta = \{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_m\}$ a set of distinguished commuting derivations. We let k be a differential subfield of K . Furthermore, we assume that K is “big”; in the sense that it is a universal model for differential-algebraic geometry. In particular, K is universal over k (or over any “small” differential subfield for that matter). In model-theoretic parlance, K is a saturated model of the theory $\text{DCF}_{0,m}$.

Recall that a numerical polynomial (in one variable) is a polynomial $p \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$ such that $p(s) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all integers s . Numerical polynomials always have the form

$$p(t) = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i \binom{t+i}{i}$$

for some integers a_i 's. The tuple (a_d, \dots, a_0) is usually called the standard coefficients of p .

Date: August 1, 2019.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 12H05, 14Q20.

Key words and phrases. differential fields, Kolchin polynomial, effective definability in families.

JF is partially supported by NSF Grant 1700095. WL is partially supported by NSFC Grants (11688101, 11301519, 11671014).

For an m -tuple $\xi = (u_1, \dots, u_m) \in \mathbb{N}^m$, we let $\text{ord}(\xi) = u_1 + \dots + u_m$, and we use multi-index notation to denote derivative operators; that is, $\delta^\xi = \delta_1^{u_1} \dots \delta_m^{u_m}$. Let us recall a classical result of Kolchin:

Fact 1.1 ([8], Chap.2, §12). *Let $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ be a tuple from K . There exists a numerical polynomial $\omega_{a/k}$ with the following properties:*

- (i) *For sufficiently large $s \in \mathbb{N}$, $\omega_{a/k}(s)$ equals the transcendence degree of $k(\delta^\xi a_i : \text{ord } \xi \leq s, i = 1, \dots, n)$ over k .*
- (ii) *$\deg \omega_{a/k} \leq m$.*
- (iii) *If we write $\omega_{a/k}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i \binom{t+i}{i}$ where $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, then a_m equals the differential-transcendence degree of the differential field $k\langle a \rangle$.*

The polynomial $\omega_{a/k}$ is called the *Kolchin polynomial of a over k* (or the differential dimension polynomial of a over k). Even though it is not generally a differential-birational invariant of a , it serves as an important measure of the transcendental-ity of a . For instance, if $k \subseteq L \subseteq K$ are differential fields and $a \in K$, then $k\langle a \rangle$ is algebraically disjoint from L over k iff $\omega_{a/k} = \omega_{a/L}$.

Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be an n -tuple of differential indeterminates for a fixed $n \geq 1$. If P is a prime differential ideal of the differential polynomial ring $k\{x\}$, we define the Kolchin polynomial of P , ω_P , as the Kolchin polynomial of a generic point $a \in K^n$ of P over k . Similarly, if V is an irreducible differential variety over k , we define the Kolchin polynomial of V , ω_V , as the Kolchin polynomial of the prime differential ideal in $k\{x\}$ given by the vanishing of V . For an arbitrary differential variety (not necessarily irreducible) over k , the Kolchin polynomial of V is

$$\omega_V := \max_{\leq} \{ \omega_W : W \text{ is an irreducible component of } V \}$$

where \leq denotes the total ordering on the set of numerical polynomials by eventual domination; i.e., $p \leq q$ if and only if $p(s) \leq q(s)$ for all sufficiently large $s \in \mathbb{N}$ (equivalently, the standard coefficients of p are less than or equal to those of q in the lexicographical order).

Remark 1.2. The Kolchin polynomial has the following two important properties (for more properties see Chapter II of [8]):

- (1) If $V \subseteq W$ are irreducible differential varieties over k with generic points a and b , respectively, then $\omega_{a/k} \leq \omega_{b/k}$ with equality if and only if $V = W$.
- (2) The collection of Kolchin polynomials is well-ordered by eventual domination (see [14]).

Let $F(x, y)$ be a collection of differential polynomials over k , where x and y are tuples of differential indeterminates (not necessarily of the same length). Note that for each $a \in K^{|y|}$, the system $F(x, a) = 0$ defines a differential variety V_a over $k\langle a \rangle$. Any such collection of V_a 's will be called a (definable) family of differential varieties, we denote this by (V_a) . We say that the family has order r if the differential polynomials in $F(x, y)$ have order at most r in the variable x and r is minimal such (and similarly for the degree of the family).

Given a definable family of differential varieties (V_a) and a numerical polynomial p , the questions that drive the results in this paper are the following:

Question 1.3. *Is the set*

$$(1) \quad \{a : \omega_{V_a} = p\}$$

definable in the structure (K, Δ) ? And, can one prove this effectively (i.e., effectively produce a formula defining (1))?

We answer both questions affirmatively. The method of our proof uses recently established bounds for the order of characteristic sets of prime differential ideals to secure an effective value s_0 (which depends only on the order of the family) such that for $s \geq s_0$ we have that $\omega_{V_a}(s)$ equals

$$(2) \quad \text{trdeg}_k k(\delta^\xi b_i : \text{ord } \xi \leq s, i = 1, \dots, n)$$

where $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ is a generic point of any irreducible component of V_a of maximal Kolchin polynomial (among all components). We do this in Section 2. We then prove in Section 3, using classical algebro-geometric facts and effective results in the theory of prolongation spaces, that one can effectively determine those a for which (2) equals a fixed nonnegative integer for each natural number $s \geq s_0$. The main result follows more or less immediately from this (see Theorem 3.6).

Finally, in Section 4, we apply the definability result to show that the property of being weakly irreducible (meaning that there is only one component of maximal Kolchin polynomial) is too definable in families. We will also see that any given definable family (V_a) admits only finitely many Kolchin polynomials; that is, the set $\{\omega_{V_a} : \text{as } a \text{ varies}\}$ is finite.

Remark 1.4. Besides Kolchin polynomials, various other (model-theoretic) ranks have been studied in differentially closed fields, see for instance [12]. Some of these ranks are known *not* to be definable in families. For instance, working in the ordinary case $m = 1$, from work of the Japanese school of integrable systems and the trichotomy theorem for DCF_0 , Pillay and Nagloo show that Morley rank (and also Lascar rank and the differential version of Krull dimension) are not definable in families [11, Corollary 3.5]. Specifically, for $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, the solution set $P_{II}(\alpha)$ to the second Painlevé equation is strongly minimal if and only if $\alpha \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$. So, in the family of differential varieties

$$\{P_{II}(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in K\},$$

the collection of those α such that $P_{II}(\alpha)$ has Morley rank one (in this case the Morley rank of each fibre is equal to its Lascar rank and differential Krull dimension) is not definable.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to the anonymous referee for their helpful comments and suggestions on a previous version of this manuscript.

2. ON CHARACTERISTIC SETS AND NUMERICAL POLYNOMIALS

We carry forward the notation from the previous section. In particular, k denotes a (small) differential subfield of our universal differentially closed field (K, Δ) .

As we pointed out in Fact 1.1, for all large enough values of s , the Kolchin polynomial of an irreducible differential variety V over k is given by a transcendence degree calculation. The minimum $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\omega_V(s) = \text{trdeg}_k k(\delta^\xi b_i : \text{ord } \xi \leq s, 1 \leq i \leq n)$$

for all $s \geq i_0$, is known as the *Hilbert-Kolchin regularity* of V , where (b_1, \dots, b_n) is a generic point of V . Upper bounds of this regularity number were estimated for quasi-regular ordinary differential systems [1]. In this section (see Theorem 2.4

below), we effectively compute an upper bound on the Hilbert-Kolchin regularity for irreducible components of differential varieties depending only on m , n and the maximal order of the system.

We need to recall the notion of volume for subsets of \mathbb{N}^m . We let \leq denote the product order on \mathbb{N}^m ; that is, $(u_1, \dots, u_m) \leq (v_1, \dots, v_m)$ means that $u_i \leq v_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Given any $E \subseteq \mathbb{N}^m$ and a nonnegative integer s , the *volume of E at level s* is

$$V_E(s) = \{\xi \in \mathbb{N}^m : \text{ord } \xi \leq s \text{ and } \xi \not\geq \eta \text{ for all } \eta \in E\}.$$

In [8, Chapter 0, §17], Kolchin shows that for any $E \subseteq \mathbb{N}^m$ there is a numerical polynomial $\omega_E(t)$ such that for sufficiently large $s \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(3) \quad \omega_E(s) = |V_E(s)|.$$

Furthermore, $\deg \omega_E \leq m$; equality occurs if and only if E is empty, in which case $\omega_E(t) = \binom{t+m}{m}$.

The next proposition yields a number s_0 , depending only on m and the set of minimal elements of E with respect to the product order, such that for all $s \geq s_0$ equality (3) holds.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{N}^m$ and denote by M the set of minimal elements of E with respect to the product order (which is a finite set by Dickson's lemma). Let $D = 0$ if M is empty, and otherwise set*

$$D = \sum_{\xi \in M} \text{ord } \xi.$$

Then, for all $s \geq m(D - 1)$, we have $\omega_E(s) = |V_E(s)|$.

Proof. One could prove this using the arguments in the proof of [9, Proposition 2.2.11], but we prefer to give a more direct argument. We proceed by induction on m and D . The cases $m = 1$ or $D = 0$ are obvious. So now assume $m > 1$ and $D > 0$. The result clearly holds when M is empty (equivalently, E is empty). So we may assume that there is $\zeta = (v_1, \dots, v_m) \in M$. Moreover, since $D > 0$, ζ is not the zero tuple; without loss of generality, we assume that $v_m \neq 0$.

Consider the following sets

$$E_1 = \{(u_1, \dots, u_{m-1}) \in \mathbb{N}^{m-1} : (u_1, \dots, u_{m-1}, 0) \geq \xi \text{ for some } \xi \in E\}$$

and

$$E_2 = \{(u_1, \dots, u_m) \in \mathbb{N}^m : (u_1, \dots, u_m + 1) \geq \xi \text{ for some } \xi \in E\}.$$

Letting M_i be the minimal elements of E_i for $i = 1, 2$, we see that $\sum_{\xi \in M_1} \text{ord } \xi \leq D$.

On the other hand, the tuple $\eta = (v_1, \dots, v_m - 1)$ is in M_2 , as $\zeta \in M$ and $v_m > 0$, and so, since $\text{ord } \eta < \text{ord } \zeta$, we have $\sum_{\xi \in M_2} \text{ord } \xi \leq D - 1$. By induction, we have

$\omega_{E_1}(s) = |V_{E_1}(s)|$ for all s such that $s \geq (m-1)(D-1)$. Also, $\omega_{E_2}(s-1) = |V_{E_2}(s-1)|$ for all s such that $s-1 \geq m(D-2)$.

A straightforward computation yields

$$|V_E(s)| = |V_{E_1}(s)| + |V_{E_2}(s-1)|, \quad \text{for all } s,$$

which in turn implies $\omega_E(t) = \omega_{E_1}(t) + \omega_{E_2}(t-1)$ (this is because equality holds for sufficiently large s). Now let $s \geq m(D-1)$. Putting the above equalities together,

we get

$$\omega_E(s) = |V_E(s)|$$

as desired. \square

Letting $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be an n -tuple of differential variables, we recall that the *canonical orderly ranking* \preceq on the set $\{\delta^\xi x_i : \xi \in \mathbb{N}^m, i = 1, \dots, n\}$ is defined as: $\delta_1^{u_1} \dots \delta_m^{u_m} x_i \preceq \delta_1^{v_1} \dots \delta_m^{v_m} x_j$ if and only if

$$(4) \quad \left(\sum_k u_k, i, u_1, \dots, u_m \right) \leq_{\text{lex}} \left(\sum_k v_k, j, v_1, \dots, v_m \right)$$

where \leq_{lex} denotes the (left) lexicographic order. The *leader* of a differential polynomial $f \in k\{x\} \setminus k$ is the highest $\delta^\xi x_i$ that appears in f with respect to \preceq , and the order of f is the order of its leader. Given any collection of differential polynomials $\Sigma \subset k\{x\} \setminus k$, by a leader of Σ we mean a leader of one of its elements and by the order of Σ we mean the maximum order among its elements. To avoid certain technicalities that are unnecessary for our purposes, we will not give the precise definition of a characteristic set. Let us just say that a *characteristic set* of a prime differential ideal $P \subset k\{x\}$ is a finite subset of P which is “reduced” and “minimal” with respect to the canonical orderly ranking \preceq . We refer the reader to [8, Chapter I] for further details.

We can now state the following result of Kolchin’s.

Fact 2.2. [8, Chapter II, §12] *Let P be a prime differential ideal of $k\{x\}$ and Λ a characteristic set of P . If for each $1 \leq i \leq n$ we denote by E_i the set of all $\xi \in \mathbb{N}^m$ such that $\delta^\xi x_i$ is a leader of Λ , then*

$$\omega_P(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_{E_i}(t).$$

In particular, the Kolchin polynomial ω_P is completely determined by the set of leaders of any characteristic set of P .

We will make use of a recent upper bound for the order of a characteristic set obtained in [5]. Let $A : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be the Ackermann function. From this (nonprimitive recursive) function, we build $C_{r,m}^n$, for $r \geq 0$ and $n, m \geq 1$, as follows:

$$C_{0,m}^1 = 0, \quad C_{r,m}^1 = A(m-1, C_{r-1,m}^1), \quad \text{and} \quad C_{r,m}^n = C_{C_{r,m}^{n-1}, m}^1.$$

For example, a straightforward computation yields

$$C_{r,1}^n = r, \quad C_{r,2}^n = 2^n r \quad \text{and} \quad C_{r,3}^1 = 3(2^r - 1).$$

From [5, Proposition 6.1] we have

Fact 2.3. *Let $\Sigma \subset K\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be of order at most r and P any of its (minimal) prime components. Then a characteristic set for P has order at most $C_{r,m}^n$.*

We can now prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $V \subseteq K^n$ be a differential variety over k (not necessarily irreducible) given by differential polynomials of order at most r . Set*

$$s_0 := m C_{r,m}^n \binom{C_{r,m}^n + m - 1}{C_{r,m}^n} - m.$$

Then, for all $s \geq s_0$ and any irreducible component W of V , we have

$$\omega_W(s) = \text{trdeg}_k k(\delta^\xi b_i : \text{ord } \xi \leq s, i = 1, \dots, n)$$

where $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ is a generic point of W .

Proof. Let Λ be a characteristic set of the prime differential ideal P in $k\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ corresponding to W . By Fact 2.2,

$$\omega_W(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_{E_i}(t).$$

where E_i is the set of all $\xi \in \mathbb{N}^m$ such that $\delta^\xi x_i$ is a leader of Λ . By Fact 2.3, the elements of Λ have order at most $C_{r,m}^n$. Hence, the elements of the set M_i of minimal elements of E_i (with respect to the product order) have order at most $C_{r,m}^n$. Since the number of m -tuples of order $s \in \mathbb{N}$ is $\binom{s+m-1}{s}$, we get that the number of elements in M_i is at most

$$\binom{C_{r,m}^n + m - 1}{C_{r,m}^n},$$

and so

$$\sum_{\xi \in M_i} \text{ord } \xi \leq C_{r,m}^n \binom{C_{r,m}^n + m - 1}{C_{r,m}^n}.$$

Suppose $s \geq s_0$. Proposition 2.1 now yields $\omega_W(s) = \sum_{i=1}^n |V_{E_i}(s)|$. Let $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ be a generic point of W . Note that the set

$$N_s = \{\delta^\xi b_i : \xi \in V_{E_i}(s), 1 \leq i \leq n\}$$

is algebraically independent over k (because Λ is a characteristic set of P). Also, if ξ is above or equal to an element of E_i , then $\delta^\xi b_i$ is algebraic over

$$k(\delta^\zeta b_j : \delta^\zeta x_j < \delta^\xi x_i);$$

and so, by induction and transitivity of algebraic field extensions, $\delta^\xi b_i$ is algebraic over N_s . We thus have that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |V_{E_i}(s)| = \text{trdeg}_k k(\delta^\xi b_i : \text{ord } \xi \leq s, i = 1, \dots, n),$$

from which the desired equality follows. \square

Note that in the ordinary differential case (i.e., $m = 1$), the value of s_0 in Theorem 2.4 reduces to $r - 1$. This special case of the bound was obtained in [1, Theorem 12] for quasi-regular ordinary differential systems. Here we have shown that such an upper bound in fact holds for all ordinary differential systems. We highlight this in the following corollary.

Corollary 2.5. *Let $m = 1$ and $V \subseteq K^n$ be an ordinary differential variety over k defined by differential polynomials of order at most r . Then the Hilbert-Kolchin regularity of all the irreducible components of V is bounded by $r - 1$.*

We will also need the following bound that witnesses eventual domination of the Kolchin polynomials of the components of a differential variety.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $V \subseteq K^n$ be a differential variety over k (not necessarily irreducible) given by differential polynomials of order at most r . Set*

$$s_1 := n 2^{m+1} m! D^m + 1$$

where

$$D = C_{r,m}^n \begin{pmatrix} C_{r,m}^n + m - 1 \\ C_{r,m}^n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Suppose W_1 and W_2 are components of V . Then, $\omega_{W_1} > \omega_{W_2}$, with respect to eventual domination, if and only if, for all $s > s_1$, we have $\omega_{W_1}(s) > \omega_{W_2}(s)$.

Proof. Write the Kolchin polynomial of W_i in (standard) numerical form; that is,

$$\omega_{W_i} = \sum_{j=0}^m a_{i,j} \binom{t+j}{j}$$

where the $a_{i,j}$'s are integers. By [10, Corollary 3.3], we have

$$(5) \quad |a_{i,j}| \leq n D^m \quad \text{for } j = 0, \dots, m.$$

It is easy to show, by induction say, that if one writes $(t+1) \cdots (t+m) = \sum_{j=0}^m c_j t^j$, then $c_j \leq 2^{m-1} m!$. From this it is easy to show, by induction and using (5), that if we write ω_{W_i} as $\sum_{j=0}^m b_{i,j} x^j$, then $|b_{i,j}| \leq n 2^m m! D^m$. Also note that, because the $a_{i,j}$'s are integers, all the products $b_{i,j} \cdot m!$ are integers.

Using the above observations and Cauchy's bound on polynomial roots (in terms of the coefficients of the given polynomial), we get that any root of the difference $\omega_{W_1} - \omega_{W_2}$ must be bounded (in absolute value) by $n 2^{m+1} m! D^m + 1$, that is, by s_1 . Thus, if ω_{W_1} eventually dominates ω_{W_2} , then, for $s > s_1$, we must have $\omega_{W_1}(s) > \omega_{W_2}(s)$. \square

3. DEFINABILITY OF KOLCHIN POLYNOMIALS

In this section we prove the main result of the paper, namely that having a prescribed Kolchin polynomial is a definable property in families of differential varieties. For our proof, we will make use of prolongation spaces. We use the notation fixed in previous sections.

Given an n -tuple b from our universal differential field (K, Δ) and s a nonnegative integer, we let $\nabla_s(b)$ be the tuple in $K^{n \cdot \binom{m+s}{m}}$ consisting of b and its derivatives of order at most s . The ordering of the tuple is not particularly important, but for convention, we will order the tuple with respect to the canonical orderly ranking as in (4).

Definition 3.1. Given a differential variety $V \subseteq K^n$ and a nonnegative integer s , the prolongation of V is defined as

$$B_s(V) := \nabla_s(V)^{\text{Z-cl}} \subseteq K^{n \cdot \binom{m+s}{m}}$$

where $*^{\text{Z-cl}}$ denotes Zariski-closure.

In Proposition 3.3 below we prove that if (V_a) is a definable family of differential varieties, then, for each s , the family $(B_s(V_a))$ is also definable. Our proof uses the following fact, which follows from results in [2].

Fact 3.2. *Recall that $*^{\text{Z-cl}}$ denotes Zariski-closure.*

- (1) If $V \subseteq K^n$ is a differential variety given by differential polynomials of degree d and order R , then there is $D = D(d, R, m, n)$, which can be effectively computed, such that $\deg V^{\text{Z-cl}} \leq D$.
- (2) Given a definable family (V_a) of differential varieties, the family $((V_a)^{\text{Z-cl}})$ is definable as well. Moreover, one can effectively compute a formula defining this family.

Proof. (1) This is precisely the content of [2, Corollary 4.5 and Remark 4.7(2)]. Note that an explicit formula is provided there that computes $D(d, R, m, n)$.

(2) Suppose the family (V_a) is defined uniformly by the collection of differential polynomials $F(x, y)$ over k (each $V_a \subset K^n$ is defined by $F(x, a) = 0$ as defined in Section 1). Suppose the order and degree of $F(x, y)$ are at most R and d respectively. By (1), $\deg(V_a)^{\text{Z-cl}} \leq D$, where D only depends on the data (d, R, m, n) . So, by [6, Proposition 3] and Kronecker's theorem (see [13, Chapter 7, §17]), we can find $(n + 1)$ -many polynomials g_0, \dots, g_n of degree at most D such that $(V_a)^{\text{Z-cl}}$ is defined by $g_0 = \dots = g_n = 0$.

A collection of polynomials defining $(V_a^{\text{Z-cl}})$ uniformly can be obtained by fixing $(n + 1)$ -many generic polynomials in z of the form $G(z, u) = \{g_0(z, u), \dots, g_n(z, u)\}$ of degree D and noting that the set of points (a, b_a) such that the $g_i(z, b_a)$'s define $(V_a)^{\text{Z-cl}}$ is the same as the set of points (a, b_a) such that the points of V_a are solutions to the $g_i(z, b_a)$'s and there is no other Zariski-closed set defined by $(n + 1)$ -many polynomials of degree at most D with this property contained in the solution set of the $g_i(z, b_a)$'s. The latter condition is easily expressible by a formula in the language of differential rings. To be more precise, each $V_a^{\text{Z-cl}}$ is defined by $g_0(z, b_a) = \dots = g_n(z, b_a) = 0$ with (a, b_a) satisfying the following formulae

$$(\forall x F(x, a) = 0 \rightarrow G(x, b_a) = 0)$$

and

$$\left(\forall u (\forall x F(x, a) = 0 \rightarrow G(x, u) = 0) \rightarrow (\forall z G(z, b_a) = 0 \rightarrow G(z, u) = 0) \right).$$

□

Part (2) of the above fact is referred to as Zariski-closure being definable in families of differential varieties. As a result we can prove

Proposition 3.3. *Let (V_a) be a definable family of differential varieties with each V_a in K^n , and let s a nonnegative integer. Then $(B_s(V_a))$ has the structure of a definable family, and one can effectively compute a formula defining this family.*

Proof. The differential variety $\nabla_s(V_a)$ is given by the equations of V_a together with

$$z = \nabla_s(x)$$

where x are variables for K^n and z are variables for $K^{n\alpha_s}$ that coincide with x in the first n coordinates where $\alpha_s := \binom{m+s}{m}$. Thus, the family of differential varieties $(\nabla_s(V_a))$ is definable. By part (2) of Fact 3.2, the family $((\nabla_s(V_a))^{\text{Z-cl}})$ is definable, and one can effectively derive a formula defining it. Since $B_s(V_a) = (\nabla_s(V_a))^{\text{Z-cl}}$, we are done. □

We will make use of the following well known algebro-geometric fact (for various elementary proofs see [7] and [3, Introduction and Appendix]):

Fact 3.4. *Let (X_a) be a definable family of algebraic varieties (in the language of rings). Then, for fixed d and ℓ , the set*

$$\{a : \dim X_a \geq d \text{ and } X_a \text{ has at least } \ell\text{-many top-dimensional components}\}$$

is definable by a formula in the language of rings. Moreover, a formula defining this set can be effectively computed.

Corollary 3.5. *Let (V_a) be a definable family of differential varieties, and d, ℓ, s nonnegative integers. Then the set*

$$\{a : \dim B_s(V_a) \geq d \text{ and } B_s(V_a) \text{ has at least } \ell\text{-many top-dimensional components}\}$$

is definable, and a formula can be effectively computed.

Proof. Copying the notation in the proof of Proposition 3.3, we have a generic family of polynomials $G_z(x)$ such that for specific (a, b) there is a formula (in the language of differential rings) such that $G_b(x)$ defines $B_s(V_a)$. If we add to this the formula defining

$$\{b : G_b(x) \text{ has dimension } \geq d \text{ and at least } \ell\text{-many top-dimensional components}\},$$

which exists by Fact 3.4, we obtain the desired formula. \square

We can now prove the (effective) definability of Kolchin polynomials in families:

Theorem 3.6. *Let (V_a) be a definable family of differential varieties and let p be a numerical polynomial. Then the sets*

$$(6) \quad \{a : \omega_{V_a} \geq p\}$$

and

$$(7) \quad \{a : \omega_{V_a} = p\}$$

are definable in the structure (K, Δ) .

Proof. First note that, by Fact 1.1(ii), if p has degree larger than m then both sets (6) and (7) are empty. So we assume that $\deg(p) \leq m$. Now note that (7) follows from (6). Indeed, by Remark 1.2(2), there is a minimum Kolchin polynomial q such that $q > p$, but then (7) equals

$$\{a : \omega_{V_a} \geq p \text{ and } \omega_{V_a} < q\}$$

which is definable by (6).

We now prove (6). Since the coefficients of any polynomial (in one variable over \mathbb{Q}) of degree at most m are determined by its values at $m + 1$ distinct natural numbers (using invertibility of Vandermonde matrices for instance), if we can effectively bound (within given intervals) the values of ω_{V_a} at $m + 1$ distinct natural numbers then we can effectively decide when $\omega_{V_a} \geq p$. This is because a numerical polynomial q dominates p iff the standard coefficients (as a numerical polynomial) of q are greater than or equal to those of p in the lexicographical order.

Suppose the family (V_a) has degree d and order r . Let s_1 be as in Proposition 2.6 (note that $s_1 \geq s_0$ with s_0 defined as in Theorem 2.4). Let W be an irreducible component of V_a (for fixed but arbitrary a) of maximal Kolchin polynomial. By Theorem 2.4 and Proposition 2.6, for all $s > s_1$, we have that $\dim B_s(W) \geq \dim B_s(W')$ for W' any other component of V_a . Since $B_s(V_a)$ equals the union of the prolongation of its components, for $s > s_1$ we get that $\dim B_s(V_a) = \dim B_s(W)$. The upshot is that now Theorem 2.4 yields $\omega_{V_a}(s) = \dim B_s(V_a)$ for all a and $s > s_1$.

Now the result follows from Corollary 3.5, as it shows that we can effectively find a formula that determines those a 's such that $\dim B_s(V_a)$ is within a fixed interval, and hence the same applies to $\omega_{V_a}(s)$ for any $s > s_1$, as desired. \square

Remark 3.7. The various steps (in the current and previous section) for the proof of Theorem 3.6 are effective in the sense that they provide a general recipe for a specific formula giving the collection of fibres with some fixed Kolchin polynomial.

From the proof of Theorem 3.6, we can deduce the following:

Proposition 3.8. *Let (V_a) be a definable family of differential varieties and ℓ a nonnegative integer. Then the set*

$$(8) \quad \{a : V_a \text{ has at least } \ell\text{-many components of maximal Kolchin polynomial}\}$$

is definable in the structure (K, Δ) .

Proof. Using the notation of the proof of Theorem 3.6, we see that for $s > s_1$, we have $\omega_{V_a}(s) = \omega_{V_i}(s)$ for each i such that $B_s(V_i)$ is top-dimensional in $B_s(V)$. Thus, for such V_i 's we get $\omega_{V_a} = \omega_{V_i}$; in other words, such V_i 's are the components of V of maximal Kolchin polynomial. This shows that the set (8) equals the set of those a 's such that $B_s(V_a)$ has at least ℓ -many top-dimensional components for $s = s_1, \dots, s_1 + m$. But the latter set of a 's is definable by Corollary 3.5. \square

4. SOME APPLICATIONS OF DEFINABILITY

Using Theorem 3.6 and Proposition 3.8, we can prove the definability of other interesting differential-algebraic properties. For instance,

Corollary 4.1. *Let (V_a) be a definable family of differential varieties, p a numerical polynomial, and ℓ a nonnegative integer. Then the set*

$$\{a : \omega_{V_a} = p \text{ and } V_a \text{ has at least } \ell\text{-many components with Kolchin polynomial } p\}$$

is definable in the structure (K, Δ) .

Proof. The above set is simply the intersection of the sets

$$\{a : \omega_{V_a} = p\}$$

and

$$\{a : \text{ and } V_a \text{ has at least } \ell\text{-many components of maximal Kolchin polynomial}\}$$

The former is definable by Theorem 3.6, while the latter is definable by Proposition 3.8. \square

Recall that a differential variety V is said to be *weakly irreducible* if it has exactly one component of maximal Kolchin polynomial. Proposition 3.8 has the following immediate consequence.

Corollary 4.2. *Given a definable family (V_a) of differential varieties, the set*

$$\{a : V_a \text{ is weakly irreducible}\}$$

is definable in the structure (K, Δ) .

Note that being weakly irreducible is not equivalent to being (fully) irreducible. In fact the question of definability of irreducibility for differential varieties is remarkably difficult (and remains open); it is actually equivalent to the generalized Ritt problem which is a longstanding problem since the 1950's (see [4, Theorem 1]).

We recall that the differential type of V , usually denoted by τ_V , is defined as the degree of the Kolchin polynomial of V . We now show that the differential type is also a property that is definable in families.

Corollary 4.3. *Let (V_a) be a definable family of differential varieties, and d a nonnegative integer. Then, the set*

$$\{a : \tau_{V_a} \geq d\}$$

is definable.

Proof. Consider the set of all Kolchin polynomials with differential type at least d . By Remark 1.2(2), this set has a smallest element (with respect to eventual domination), say p . By Theorem 3.6, the set $\{a : \omega_{V_a} \geq p\}$ is definable. The latter is precisely the set of those a 's such that the differential type of V_a is at least d . \square

Our last result says that a definable family admits only finitely many Kolchin polynomials.

Corollary 4.4. *Let (V_a) be a definable family of differential varieties. Then the set*

$$\{\omega_{V_a} : \text{as } V_a \text{ varies in the family}\}$$

is finite.

Proof. Towards a contradiction assume the set is infinite. Let \mathfrak{N} be the collection of numerical polynomials of degree at most m . For each $p \in \mathfrak{N}$, consider the set

$$A_p = \{a : \omega_{V_a} \neq p\}$$

The set A_p is definable by Theorem 3.6. Moreover, by our assumption, the intersection of finitely many of the A_p 's is nonempty. But by universality of K (or saturation rather), the intersection $\bigcap_{p \in \mathfrak{N}} A_p$ would contain a point, say a_0 . Of course,

this is impossible since $\omega_{V_{a_0}}$ is a numerical polynomial of degree at most m . \square

REFERENCES

- [1] L. D'Alfonso, G. Jeronimo, G. Massaccesi, P. Solerno. On the index and the order of quasi-regular implicit systems of differential equations. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 430:2102–2122, 2009.
- [2] J. Freitag and O. León Sánchez. Effective uniform bounding in partial differential fields. *Advances in Mathematics*, 208:308–336, 2016.
- [3] J. Freitag, W. Li, T. Scanlon and W. Johnson. Differential Chow varieties exist. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 95(1):128–156.
- [4] O. D. Golubitsky, M. V. Kondratieva and A. I. Ovchinnikov. On the generalized Ritt problem as a computational problem. *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 163(5):515–522, 2009.
- [5] R. Gustavson and O. León Sánchez. Effective bounds for the consistency of differential equations. *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, Available Online, 2017.
- [6] Joos Heintz. Definability and fast quantifier elimination in algebraically closed fields. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 24(3):239–277, 1983.
- [7] E. Hrushovski. Strongly minimal expansions of algebraically closed fields. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 79:129–151, 1992.

- [8] E. R. Kolchin. Differential Algebra and Algebraic Groups. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 45, Academic Press 1973.
- [9] M. V. Kondratieva, A. B. Levin, A. V. Mikhalev and E. V. Pankratiev. Differential and difference dimension polynomials. Mathematics and its Applications. Springer-Science Business Media, B. V., 1999.
- [10] O. León Sánchez. Estimates for the coefficients of differential dimension polynomials. Preprint 2017. arxiv:1703.00509.pdf
- [11] J. Nagloo and A. Pillay. On algebraic relations between solutions of a generic Painlevé equation. Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik, 726:1–27, 2017.
- [12] W. Y. Pong. Rank inequalities in the theory of differentially closed fields. Proceedings of the Logic Colloquium, 2003.
- [13] J. F. Ritt. Differential Algebra Dover Publications, New York, 1950.
- [14] W. Sit. On the well-ordering of certain numerical polynomials. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 212:37–45, 1975.

JAMES FREITAG, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS CHICAGO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS, AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, 851 S. MORGAN STREET, CHICAGO, IL, USA, 60607-7045.

E-mail address: `freitag@math.uic.edu`

OMAR LEÓN SÁNCHEZ, UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, OXFORD ROAD, MANCHESTER, UK, M13 9PL.

E-mail address: `omar.sanchez@manchester.ac.uk`

WEI LI, ACADEMY OF MATHEMATICS AND SYSTEMS SCIENCE, CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, NO.55 ZHONGGUANCUN EAST ROAD, BEIJING, CHINA, 100190.

E-mail address: `liwei@mmsrc.iss.ac.cn`